A Review on the Link between Language Learning and Dynamic Assessment

Neda Ghandhari

ABSTRACT
Researchers have truly noticed the significance of Dynamic Assessment (DA) and its impact on under studies language learning. DA offers educators and workers immense open doors for language instructing and learning. The present article can be considered as a component of the ongoing pattern in the field of language educating. It endeavors to portray Dynamic Assessment (DA) and audit the writing on the impact of DA on language acquiring. It likewise portrays diverse ideas identified with DA and features the contrasts between the two ways to deal with DA The article presumes that educators' intercession and mediation and understudies dynamic contribution during the time spent advancement can lessen and beat the obstructions to learning.
 KEYWORDS: Dynamic assessment, language teaching, language   learning

INTRODUCTION

The idea of DA coms from both Vygotky's (1978) learning hypothesis and Feurstein' (1979) hypothesis of interceded learning background. Vygotsky's (1978) conceptualization of Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) recommended that learning can be incredibly encouraged in communications beween understudies and a more educated and experienced individual. Besides, understudies build up the psychological working required in social connection inside ZPD (Brown, 2004).

Despite the fact that DA has its underlying foundations in Vygotsky's idea of ZPD, he himself did not utilize the term DA (Pohner and Lantolf, 2005). As per them, it was A. R. Luria (1961), one of Vygotsky's most powerful partners, who stands out measurable from dynamic ways to deal with appraisal. Kris-ten Nielsen (2012) attested that as indicated by Luria, albeit factual evaluation is grounded in sound psychometric standards, it expect that a man's performance execution on a test speaks to a total photo of the person's abilities. DA, then again, contends that a full picture needs two extra bits of data: the individual's execution with help from another person and the degree to which the individual can profit by this assistance, not just in finishing a similar errand or test, yet in addition in exchanging this interceded execution to various undertakings or tests. DA demands that any evaluation that neglects to decide the degree to which a man's execution is modifiable is deficient. By the by, on the off chance that one inspects customary measurably based appraisal, as a result of the responsibility of psychometric standards, thinks about change in the individual's execution amid the organization of the evaluation as a danger to these standards, specifically, test dependability (Lidz, 1991; Hay-wood et al., 1990).

DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT AND SOCIOCULTURAL THEORY OF MIND

As indicated by Vygotsky (1978), Sociocultural hypothesis is worried about the improvement of people after some time. Learning isn't settled; in reality it is dynamic and formative. The formative spotlight is on people's potential capacities which depend on a very basic level on intervention or learning backings, for example, updates, cases, models, designs, representations, additionally inquiries, clarifications and elaborations, and support. They are utilized to propel the learning in the zone of proximal improvement. The people's learning and accomplishment are intervened by steady cooperations with different people and this connection is fundamental to learning. To comprehend students' learning and potential improvement, it's essential to consider both what they can do alone and what they can do with others in social collaboration. As per Lantolf (2000), one of the primary ideas of sociocultural hypothesis is its claim that the human personality is intervened. He affirmed that Vygotsky puts stock in a critical part for what he calls 'instruments' in people's comprehension of the world and themselves. Lantolf additionally guaranteed that as Vygotsky would like to think, people don't act specifically on the physical world without the go-

between of apparatuses. Regardless of whether representative or signs, apparatuses are antiques made by people under particular social and chronicled conditions, and in that capacity they convey with them the qualities of the way of life being referred to. Truth be told, apparatuses are utilized to tackle issues that can't be understood in their nonappearance. They likewise have an effect on the people who utilize them. They offer ascent to already obscure exercises and beforehand obscure methods for conceptualizing wonders on the planet. Thus, they are liable to alteration as they are passed starting with one age then onto the next, at that point every age adjusts them keeping in mind the end goal to address the issues and desires of its people and com-munities. Sociocultural hypothesis expect that learning comes to fruition not through collaboration but rather in connection. Students initially prevail with regards to playing out an undertaking with the assistance of someone else and subsequent to understanding the assignment, they can perform it all alone. Actually, social collaboration is upheld to intervened learning (Ellis, 2000). The hypothesis goes further to state communications that intervene learning are those in which the individual students platform the new errand. Notwithstanding, a standout amongst the most vital commitments of this hypothesis is the qualification Vygotsky made between the youngster's real and potential levels of improvement or what he calls Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD).

DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT AND THE ZONE OF PROXIMAL DEVELOPMENT

Vygotsky's zone of proximal improvement is an imperative idea that explains the measurements of school learning. It researches what youngsters can accomplish with the help of others (social connection) might be more characteristic of their psychological improvement than what they can do alone (Vygotsky, 1978. Vygotsky trusted that the progressing pressure between the relationship of learning and advancement can-not be settled without the zone of proximal improvement. As per him, the zone of proximal improvement characterizes those capacities that have not yet developed but rather are presently development the "buds" or "blooms" of advancement as opposed to the "natural products" of advancement.

Vygotsky (1978) trusted that learning isn't improvement; in reality he declared that appropriately sorted out learning brings about mental advancement and sets into movement an assortment of formative procedures that would not happen without the learning procedure. He asserted that learning is a significant and general part of the way toward growing socially sorted out, for the most part human mental capacities. What's more, school realizing, as per Vygotsky, presents something new into a person's advancement. His (1978) speculation incorporates two fundamental highlights. The main component recommended that formative procedures and learning forms don't occur at the same time, be that as it may, formative procedures falls behind realizing, which brings about zones of proximal advancement. The second one recommended that in spite of the fact that adapting straightforwardly identifies with tyke advancement, they are never refined in the meantime, because of exceedingly complex powerful relations amongst improvement and learning.

Vygotsky (1978) gave a case of the zone of proximal improvement by demonstrating how two offspring of a similar age sequentially (10 years) and rationally (eight years) take care of an issue at their formative level. His illustration proposed the two youngsters ought to touch base at similar answers for the issue, yet when the two kids are allowed to tackle the issue with encourage (social communication and the kid's dynamic interest in the issue); it uncovered that one tyke can take care of the issue at a twelve-year-old level, while the other unravel it at a nine-year-old level. The distinction in their capacities to take care of the issue demonstrated that the ability of kids with measure up to levels of mental advancement contrasted to a high degree with the instructor's communication and direction. It uncovered that they were not rationally at a similar age and that the ensuing course of their learning would be unique.

This distinction is the thing that Vygotsky con-siders as the zone of proximal advancement which is the separation between the genuine formative level as controlled by autonomous critical thinking and the level of potential improvement as decided through critical thinking under grown-up direction or in a joint effort with more skilled companions (1978). Shayer (2002) contended that a significant component of picking up as per Vygotsky is that it

makes a ZPD, at the end of the day, learning stirs an assortment of interior formative procedures that can work exactly when the youngster is communicating with different people in his condition and connection with peers. After the disguise of these procedures, they turn out to be a piece of tyke's free accomplishment. Shayer (2002) noticed that in spite of the engaging quality of the idea of ZPD in its effortlessness, its application practically speaking is more risky. He said that Vygotsky himself did not propose much reasonable guidance to how ZPD may be effectively connected in classrooms. Vygotsky left it to others to discover successful methods for doing as such. It is likewise essential to take note of that the idea of ZPD does not infer that these levels of learning are progressively requested or perfectly sequenced. As a matter of fact Shayer asserted that Vygotsky plainly expressed that they are definitely not. He additionally proposed that coordinated effort and collaboration among people make an aggregate ZPD from which every one of the students can draw from as an aggregate pool.

DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT AND MEDIATION

As per Williams and Burder (1997), in Feuerstein's hypothesis, intercession is key to Vygotsky's sociocultural hypothesis. Intercession alludes to the part played by other individuals in the students' lives, individuals who in-wrinkle their learning by choosing and molding the learning encounters exhibited to them. Lantolf (2000) noticed that intercession and control of our associations with different people and us and additionally changing these relations, is only conceivable by utilization of signs and emblematic instruments. Kozulin (2002) asserted that middle people are of two classifications: human and emblematic. In view of his feeling, human intercession manages what sort of contribution with respect to the grown-ups is viable in expanding the kid's execution, while emblematic intervention attempts to discover the response to the inquiry concerning what changes in the youngster's execution can occur by the acquaintance of the tyke with representative instruments middle people.

Haywood and Tzuriel (2002) noticed that amid the intelligent intercession, the arbiter endeavors to outline those practices which could be upsetting a tyke's execution. The middle person painstakingly watches if the individual reacts rashly, if kid can take after verbal guidelines, and on the off chance that he or she can tackle an issue. Truth be told, the go between watches the constraints of the kid's psychological capacities to recognize the easygoing variable that blocks the tyke's learning. Some identifiable snags to a person's entrance to successful utilization of one's insight incorporate obliviousness, social contrasts in learning propensities, ruined vocabulary, Motivational factors and deficient advancement of psychological and metacognitive structures and methodologies. As per Lantolf and Thorne (2006), what makes a strategy dynamic or not is regardless of whether intervention is incorporated into the evaluation procedure.

DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT VERSUS STATIC ASSESSMENT

Lantolf and Poehner (2004) affirmed that DA researchers looked into their approach with appraisal techniques that were not delicate to the ZPD-methods they regularly alluded to as Static Assessment (SA). While we know about the risks inalienable in dichotomizing distinctive ways to deal with any logical undertaking, we in any case trust that, it is valuable to design a portion of the contrasts between more recognizable ways to deal with appraisal and DA. Hessels-Schlatter and Hessels (2009) had a productive view about the move from SA to DA. By static appraisal, they allude to those traditional and psychometric assessments (e. g., knowledge tests) which are developed in view of the idea of security. They expressed that dependability concerns the mental characteristic that should be steady and not shift amid testing.

Since the be-ginning of the twenty first century, numerous L2 analysts have endeavored to plot a monistic perspective of language direction and evaluation, coming full circle in DA (Ableeva, 2008; Anton, 2009; Birjandi and Ebadi, 2009, 2010; Jacobs, 2001; Kozulin and Garb, 2002; Lantolf, 2009; Poehner, 2007, 2008; Summres, 2008). Sternberg and Grigorenko (2002) enumer-ate three methodological contrasts amongst SD and DA. In the first place, SA centers around the result of past advancement, while DA forefronts future improvement. Second, the inspector/examinee relationship fluctuates in the two methodologies. In SA, analysts are relied upon to receive an

unbiased and impartial position as a methods for limiting estimation mistake. In DA the analyst intercedes during the time spent evaluation as the regular demeanor of lack of bias is supplanted by an air of instructing and making a difference. Third, in SA the examinees gets almost no input on the nature of their execution until the point that the appraisal is finished all together not to undermine the dependability of the instrument, while In DA, a particular type of criticism is given intervened help and this is the core of the evaluation procedure. Truth be told, fill-in-the-clear, various decision, open-finished paper, or even oral capability tests are in themselves neither static nor dynamic instruments. Their status is controlled by the point of the strategy and the configuration in which it is consequently regulated. In this way what makes a system dynamic or static is regardless of whether intercession is coordinated with the appraisal procedure not simply the instrument.

Poehner (2008) separated DA from Non-Dynamic Assessment (NDA) in that he took a gander at the evaluation from an epistemologically extraordinary perspective, that is, the mix of direction and appraisal through intercession keeping in mind the end goal to build up the capacities being surveyed. He likewise called attention to that DA and NDA allude to organization methods as opposed to appraisal instruments, so he asserted that any evaluation instrument could be utilized as a part of a dynamic or non-dynamic mold. In light of his perspective, three highlights could be utilized to recognize DA and NDA:

1. The view of the abilities underlying the procedures
2. The purpose of conducting the assessment
3. The role of the assessor

INTERVENTIONIST VERSUS INTERACTIONIST APPROACHES TO DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT

As indicated by Brown and Ferrara (1985), Interventionist DA manages evaluating, as a record of speed of taking in the measure of assistance required for singular students to proficiently come to a pre-determined end point. Interactionist perspective of DA, then again, centers around the improvement of an individual student, paying little mind to the exertion required and without worry for a foreordained endpoint. Lantolf and Poehner (2004) clarified that these models vary by they way they approach intervention, while some sticking to scripted prompts and clues, others empowering open-finished exchange amongst go betweens and students. These models are known as interventionist DA and interactionist DA individually. As indicated by Sternberg and Grigorenko (2002), two organizations exist inside interventionist DA: the 'sandwich' and the 'cake' approaches. In sandwich organization of intercession approach which is more in accordance with customary non-dynamic types of evaluation, the people are controlled a test, after which they get mediation for a few sessions and afterward they get parallel type of post-test to watch the adequacy of the treatment (Sternberg and Gregorenko, 2002). As indicated by them, in the cake organize, the examinee is given intervention drawn from an institutionalized menu of insights, running from verifiable to express, all through the organization of the appraisal itself. In this way, the 'cake' analogy alludes to the layering of things of the test and insights such that a menu of indications can be gotten to, as required, for each inquiry or issue before proceeding onward to the following thing on the test.

Intuitive DA depends on Vygotsky's concept of agreeable dialoging. This approach centers around the student or students with no foreordained endpoints. It is profoundly delicate to ZPD since the help rises up out of the arbiter and student (Poehner, 2008). As per Allal and Duerey (2000), the interactionist approach has been called educating in evaluation.

EMPIRICAL STUDIES ON DYNAMIC ASSESSMENT

In the region of DA, numerous specialists and professionals have been attempting to discover how to connect DA with various parts of language learning and how to better make utilization of DA in language educating. DA has

been sought after by school and clinical clinicians as a method for all the more precisely surveying a person's potential for future advancement by installing guideline in the appraisal procedure itself (Sternberg and Grigorenko, 2002). Tzuriel (2000) investigated the dynamic evaluation of youthful youngsters. The hypothetical establishments of his investigation got from Vygotskian sociocultural hypothesis, and Feuerstein's interceded learning knowledge hypothesis. DA had been connected with various clinical and instructive gatherings and was observed to be precise in mirroring kids' learning potential than static tests. The meditational methodology was additionally answered to be more powerful than other mediation approaches.

Anton (2009) examined the usage of symptomatic appraisal in a propelled Spanish language program at the college level. Specific consideration was given to the utilization of dynamic appraisal rehearses as an approach to survey language capacities. Appraisal techniques directed with third-year Spanish language majors with the reason for showing the capability of dynamic evaluation for second language learning settings. The subjective investigation of the outcomes demonstrated that dynamic evaluation took into consideration a more profound and more extravagant depiction of students' genuine and new capacities, which empowered projects to devise individualized instructional plans receptive to students' needs. Lin (2009) led an intuitive DA think about in an EFL setting. He found that utilizing an arrangement of pre-figured insights and interventions would ace vide educators with data about understudies' needs and their reactions to intercession. He additionally noticed that a fruitful intelligent DA program should meet three variables:

1. It should have clear objectives
2. It should include meaningful tasks that are in the learner's ZPD and that accommodate to pre-formulated hints and mediations.
3. It should enjoy an appropriate rating scale

Nazari (2012) examined the mix of the evaluation and direction which leaded to another approach, dynamic appraisal, in light of the standards of Sociocultural Theory of Mind (SCT) created by Vygotsky and his associates. The examination endeavored to give an outline of the writing that set the preparation for DA. Subsequent to changing the fundamental writing on DA, the suggestion was that the students could enormously profit by DA-based intercession and that educator innovation, including inside the area of appraisal, could be extremely instrumental during the time spent guideline. Another essential estimation of dynamic EFL evaluation lied in the way that its outcomes could be utilized for the advancement of individual learning gets ready for understudies with various adapting needs. In the meantime, the survey of writing uncovered that DA could be a helpful system to be utilized as a part of language classrooms, as it puts awesome accentuation on potential instead of conclusive accomplishment. The general recommendation could be made that the language educators ought to incorporate more types of dynamic appraisal into their educational module on the off chance that they need to survey the genuine advancement of their understudies.

Meihami and Meihami (2014) researched distinctive speculations with respect to DA and ZPD and illustrated late investigations on powerful evaluation (DA) in second language learning classroom. By breaking down and investi-gating the ongoing examinations they affirmed that DA ought to be entered to L2 classrooms.

Reading

Ajideh and Nourdad (2013) explored the upsides of applying DA for distinguishing the individual EFL students' perusing understanding capacity. The discoveries were in accordance with the aftereffects of their past investigation in2012. The outcomes indicated four noteworthy contrasting focuses among people who were already ordered as having a similar perusing capacity by non-dynamic appraisal. It uncovered the upsides of applying DA over non-dynamic evaluation in more profound and more extravagant portrayals of take in ers' capacities by illuminating wellsprings of issue in execution of every person and the correct phase of the issue since people who can't answer a thing effectively, may not really have the same or even comparable issues. DA turned out to be proficient in distinguishing the correct extent of capacity for every individual, and it gave chances to student advancement

International Journal of Modern Language Teaching and Learning
Available online at www. ijmltl.com. Vol. 2, Issue 2, 2017, pp.96- 105
ISSN: 2367-9328

because of its monistic view toward direction and appraisal. It was likewise inferred that DA introduced a method for advancement from past to present as well as even into future. Assessors ought not anticipate a comparable execution in undertaking consummation in light of capability level of test takers and their same or comparable non-dynamic evaluation scores.

Birjandi, Estaji and Deyhim (2013) explored the effect of DA on perusing perception and metacognitive consciousness of perusing technique use in Iranian secondary school students. The examination was connected with 47 moderate members. The factually critical impact was found for the execution of the members who had gotten intercession. The outcomes additionally uncovered that the understudies' scores in the trial gather were essentially higher than understudies' scores in the control aggregate who experienced the static tests. The discoveries proposed that DA is a compelling methods for understanding the students' capacities and helping them to defeat perusing perception issues. Additionally the investigation demonstrated that the DA methods brought together direction and appraisal as a solitary movement. Along these lines, the discoveries of the examination were in help of Haywood and Lidz (2007), who clarified that DA is an intuitive strategy that deliberately measures the level of progress that happens in light of signs, techniques, or errand conditions that are presented amid testing. Then again, the examination uncovered that with the classroom setting which is time constrained, DA may not be adequate for building up the students' metacognitive attention to perusing system utilize. Different types of help must be established to take into consideration higher metacognitive mindfulness.

WRITING

Shrestha and Coffin (2012) investigated the estimation of guide intercession with regards to scholarly written work improvement among college understudies, following the DA approach that has been created inside Vygotskian sociocultural hypothesis of learning (Vygotsky, 1978). The aftereffect of the examination demonstrated that DA can distinguish and react to the zones that understudies require the most help. The discoveries demonstrated that intercession included certain to unequivocal help. By considering the recurrence of the meditational moves, the analysts could track the improvement of the students in the investigation and to pick up knowledge into their developing written work capacities as demonstrated by the sum and nature of help required. In another examination, Shrestha (2013) explored the exchange of scholastic composition abilities through DA. The discoveries recommended that the exchange of scholastic composition aptitudes and theoretical information happened more in the writings of the understudies that experienced DA than that of the understudy who took after a customary approach.

Isavi (2012) examined the impact of DA on Iranian L2 composing execution. Keeping in mind the end goal to additionally explore adequacy of DA, the investigation connected the administrative scale offered by Aljaafreh and Lantolf (1994) to Iranian EFL students' written work capacity. The consequence of the examination demonstrated that a DA approach effectively enhanced EFL students' written work capacity.

LISTENING AND SPEAKING

Slope and Sabet (2009) examined the use of dynamic evaluation (DA) techniques in classroom talking appraisals. The investigation concentrated on four specific utilizations of dynamic talking evaluation (DSA). The principal, "interceded help" (MA), included the communication between a student and assistor to uncover issues in talked execution. The second DSA approach was to find students' capacity to exchange what they had just disguised to novel issues. Zone of proximal advancement (ZPD) is the third DSA application and the last DSA approach, "community commitment" (CE) was to analyze issue regions amid DSA. The outcomes demonstrated that the second DSA approach as pretends of graduated trouble was a honest to goodness methods for surveying advancement of second language obtaining. Mama information uncovered that it had huge total change in students' talking execution as well as in their correspondence. Lin (2009) analyzed the intelligent powerful appraisal attempted by kids learning English tuning in and talking as an outside language in a kindergarten, and explored how

an intuitive unique evaluation could be intended to survey youthful EFL students, how an intelligent powerful appraisal may advance children's' EFL realizing and what data such an intelligent powerful evaluation could create about the language program and the taking an interest kids. Indeed this intuitive approach was contextualized inside an English intercession program and fused with a pre-detailed arrangement of steady interventions for the cooperation youngsters.

The consequences of the examination uncovered that this intelligent unique evaluation varied from conventional non-dynamic appraisals in that it incorporated the assessors intervention and along these lines created the data about taking an interest kids' needs and potential reactions to intercession. It likewise showed the viability of an intelligent powerful evaluation in advancing youngsters' EFL learning and supporting instructing. Hidri (2014) tended to the need to look at and enhance current evaluations of listening appreciation (LC) of college EFL students. He led the examination to create and assess a dynamic appraisal of listening understanding in an EFL setting. Consequences of the examination uncovered that for the most part test-takers' capacities were shifted essentially in the two modes, with more capable understudies in the dynamic than in the static test.

GRAMMAR

Jafary, Nordin and Mohajeri (2012) led an examination to research the impact of dynamic appraisal on students' syntactic information. The principle worry of the examination was huge contrast amongst dynamic and static appraisal and the conceivable part of these two types of evaluate ment on the syntactic advancement of Iranian EFL school preliminary students. The investigation was done with 60 pre college male students and the understudies in the exploratory gathering got intercession in powerful appraisal display which included a few systems like searching for pieces of information, disposing of the distracters and examination procedures. The procedure of intervention was intended to empower instructors to intercede every one of the things in an intelligent way. The intercession had two phases: control of syntactic and auxiliary point through the techniques and the data paper which was given to the understudies toward the finish of every session to deal with them at home. The outcomes uncovered that the test gather beat the control gathering. The investigation demonstrated that dynamic appraisal was successful and vital in enhancing syntactic information of the students.

VOCABULARY

Pena et al. (2001) explored the execution of Spanish-talking and English-talking bilingual youngsters on a word-learning errand by us-ing pretest-educate posttest. The outcomes uncovered that DA successfully separated language contrasts and kids in the intercession assemble had more prominent increases from pretest to posttest than those in non-intervention gathering. Burton and Watkins (2007) directed an investigation to quantify vocabulary learning of kindergarten kids utilizing dynamic approach. Members were 24 normally creating African American youngsters. The DA of word mapping gave data about entire and incomplete mapping of words. The outcomes uncovered that the utilization of the dynamic estimating conjunction with customary vocabulary measures may can possibly give a gauge of word-learning capacity. The investigation en abled the perusers to distinguish the requirement for word-learning measures and be-come acquainted with the consolidated method of utilizing dynamic evaluation and quick mapping. Kapantzoglou, Restrepo and Thompson (2012) specified that bilingual kids were frequently determined to have language hindrance, in spite of the fact that they may have less chances to learn English than English - talking monolingual kids. They analyzed whether dynamic appraisal (DA) of word learning abilities was a successful technique for distinguishing language impedance in bilingual youngsters. The aftereffects of the investigation demonstrated that ordinary language advancement (TLD) kids made relationship amongst phonological and semantic portrayals of the new words speedier than kids with essential language debilitation (PLI) did, indicating more prominent modifiability. Discoveries proposed that a concise DA was a promising technique for precisely separating kids with TLD from youngsters with PLI.

CONCLUSION

Numerous researchers directed a few investigations about the impact of DA on language learning and diverse abilities and sub-aptitudes of language. To the extent the past examinations concern, DA has assumed a basic part in the instructing and learning forms. They showed that DA is a successful approach that should be contemplated by language instructors and other connected language specialists. The audit of past investigations demonstrated a noteworthy contrast amongst dynamic and non-dynamic evaluation of perusing capacity. Likewise the gainful impact of DA for EFL students was found and the impact did not blur after some time. In the region of composing the re-look demonstrated that the understudies' written work capacities were enhanced through DA approach. The outcomes likewise proposed that a DA way to deal with composing empowered the educator to all the more precisely assess students' written work aptitude and subsequent to recognizing the idea of the blunder gave the students vital help and, along these lines, enhance their composition. The utilization of DA was additionally viable and significant in enhancing tuning in, talking, vocabulary and syntactic information of the students. An examination of the impact of DA on language learning of students will add to an under-remaining of essential standards of DA and additionally enhance the viability of coordinating DA in language classes. The present examination offered credit to the viability of DA systems in learning. It could be induced the DA makes an inventive setting of language learning in correlation with customary ones, both for students and instructors. Dynamic evaluation is suggested as a substantial and valuable approach which could serve augmented guideline crosswise over age gatherings (Banks and Neisworth, 1995). The discoveries of this examination presumed that educators' intercession and mediation and understudies' dynamic contribution during the time spent improvement can diminish and beat the hindrances to learning. The survey of past investigations are empowering and ought to be of awesome advantage to educators who get a kick out of the chance to have a more dynamic and fascinating class. Educators should likewise be set up to change and receive their instructing style as indicated by new improvements and discoveries in the instructional method of dynamic evaluation based language educating.

REFERENCES

Ableeva, R. (2008). The effects of dynamic assessment on L2 listening com- prehension. In J. P. Lantolf and M. E. Poehner (Eds.), Sociocultural theory and the teaching of second languages, 57-86. London, Equinox Press.

Ajideh, p., & Nourdad, N. (2012). The immediate and delayed Effect of dynamic assessment on EFL reading ability. English Language Teaching, 5(12), 101-122.

Ajideh, P., & Nourdad, N. (2013). Dynamic assessment revealing individual differences in EFL reading comprehension ability. International J. Soc. Sci., & Education, 3(2), 141-151.

Aljaafreh, A., & Lantolf, J. P. (1994). Negative feedback as regulation and second language learning in the zone of proximal development. The Modern Language Journal, 78, 465-483.

Allal, L., & Ducrey, G. P. (2000). Assessment of-or-in the zone of proximal development. Learning and Instruction, 10, 137-152.

Anton, M. (2009). Dynamic assessment of advanced second language learners. Foreign Language Annals, 42(3), 576-598.

Banks, S. R., & Neisworth, J. T. (1995). Dynamic assessment in early intervention implication for serving American Indian/Alaska native families. Journal of American Indian Education, 34(2), 27-43.

Birjandi, P., & Ebadi, S. (2009). Issues in dynamic assessment. English Language Teaching, 2(4), 188-198.

Birjandi, P., Estaji, M., & Deyhim, T. (2013). The impact of dynamic assessment on reading comprehension and metacognitive awareness of read- ing strategy use in Iranian high school learners. Iranian Journal of Language Testing, 3(2), 61-77.

Brown, G. T. L. (2004). Teachers' conceptions of assessment: Implications for policy and professional development. Assessment in Education, 11, 301-318.

Brown, A. L., & Ferrara, R. A. (1985). Diagnosing zones of proximal development. In J. V. Wertsch (Ed.), Culture, communication, and cognition. Vygotskian perspectives. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Burton, V. J. & Watkins, R. V. (2007). Measuring word learning: dynamic versus static assessment of kindergarten vocabulary. Journal of Communication Disorders, 40(5), 111-117.

Ellis, R. (2000). Task-based research and language pedagogy. Language Teaching Research, 4(3), 193-220.

Feuerstein, R. (1979). The dynamic assessment of retarded performers: The learning potential assessment device, theory, instruments, and techniques. Baltimore, Md.: University Park Press.

Haywood, H. C., Brown, A. L. & Wingenfeld, S. (1990). Dynamic approaches to psychoeducational assessment.School Psychology Review, 19, 411-22.

Haywood, H. C., & Tzuriel, D. (2002). Applications and challenges in dynamic assessment. Peabody Journal of Education, 77(2), 40-63.

Haywood, H. C., & Lidz C. S. (2007). Dynamic assessment in practice. Clinical and educational applications. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Hessels-Schlatter, C., & Hessels, M. G. P. (2009). Clarifying some issues in dynamic assessment: Comments on Karpov and Tzuriel. Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology, 8(3), 246-251.

Hidri, S. (2014). Developing and evaluating a dynamic assessment of listening comprehension in an EFL context. Language Testing in Asia, 4(4), 143-149.

Hill, K. & Sabet, M. (2009). Dynamic speaking assessments. TESOL Quarterly, 43(3), 537-545.

Jacobs, E. L. (2001). The effects of adding dynamic assessment components to a computerized pre-school language screening test. Communication Disorders Quarterly, 22(4), 217-226.

Jafary, M., Nordin, N., & Mohajeri, R. (2012). The effect of dynamic ver- sus static assessment on syntactic development of Iranian college prepara- tory EFL learners. English Language Teaching, 5(7), 149-156.

Kapantzoglou, M., Restrepo, M. A., & Thompson, M. S. (2012). Dynamic assessment of word learning skills: Identifying language impairment in bilingual children. Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools, 43(1), 81-96.

Kozulin, A. (2002). Sociocultural theory and the mediated learning experi- ence. School Psychology International. Sage Publication.

Kozulin, A., & Garb, E. (2002). Dynamic assessment of EFL text compre- hension of at-risk students. School Psychology International, 23, 112-127.

Lantolf, J. P. (2000). Sociocultural theory and second language learning. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Lantolf, J. P. (2009). Dynamic assessment: The languageic integration of instruction and assessment. Language Teaching, 42(3), 355-368.

Lantolf, J. P., & Poehner, M. E. (2004). Dynamic assessment of L2 devel- opment: Bringing the past into the future. Journal of Applied Linguistics, 1(2), 49-72.

Lantolf, J. P., & Poehner, M. E. (2004). Dynamic assessment in the lan- guage classroom (CALPER Professional Development Document CPDD-

0411). University Park, PA: The Pennsylvania State University, Center for Advanced Language Proficiency Education and Research.

Lantolf, J., & Thorne, S. L. (2006). Sociocultural theory and the genesis of Second language development. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Lee, L., & Gundersen, E. (2011). Select readings: teacher- approved read- ings for today's students. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Luria, A. R. (1961). Study of the abnormal child. American Journal of Orthopsychiatry: A Journal of Human Behavior, 31, 1-16.

Luria, A. R. (1979). The making of mind: A personal account of Soviet psychology. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Lidz, C. S. (1991). Practitioner's guide to dynamic assessment. New York: Guilford Press.

Lin, Z. (2009). Interactive dynamic assessment with children learning EFL in kindergarten. Early Childhood Education Journal, 37(4), 279-287.

Meihami, H,. & Meihami, B. (2014). An overview of dynamic assessment in the language classroom. International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences, 5, 35-43.

Nazari, B. (2012). Teach-to-test instruction of dynamic assessment: a critical overview. Bellaterra Journal of Teaching & Learning Language & Literature, 5(4), 56-68.

Pena, E., lglesias, A., & Lidz, C. S. (2001). Reducing test bias through dynamic assessment of children's word learning ability. American Journal of Speech-Language Pathology, 10, 138-154.

Poehner, M. E. (2007). Beyond the test: L2 dynamic assessment and the transcendence of mediated learning. The Modern Language Journal, 91, 323-340.

Poehner, M. E. (2008). Dynamic Assessment: A Vygotskian Approach to Understanding and Promoting Second Language Development, Berlin: Springer Publishing.

Poehner, M. E., & Lantolf, J. P. (2005). Dynamic assessment in the lan- guage classroom. Language Teaching Research, 9, 233-265.

Shayer, M. (2002). Not just Piaget, not just Vygotsky, and certainly not Vygotsky as an alternative to Piaget. In Shayer (ed),. Learning intelli- gence, cognitive acceleration across the curriculum from 5 to 15 years. UK: Open University Press.

Sternebrerg, R. J., & Grigorenkeo, E. L.. (2002). Dynamic testing: The na- ture and measurement of lealrning potential. Cl.ambridge, U,K: C:ambridge Universeitrys Press.

Summers, R. (2008). Dynamic Assessment: Towards a Model of Dia- logic Engagement. U.npublished doctoral dissertartion. U.niverseity of South Florida.

Tzuriel, D. (2000). Dynamic assessment otf young chicldren:e E: ducational and intiervernteion perspecteives. E.ducational Psychology Review, 12, (4), 385-435.

Williams, M, ., & Burden,eR,. (1997). Psychology for lanlguage teachers: A social constructivisit approach. UK: Cambridge UniversityitPress.

Vygotsky, L,. S. (1978). Mind in isociety: The development of higher psy- chological processes. Cambridge, M, A: Harvard UniversityitPress.